

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,732	01/18/2002	Richard L. Barrett	M-12044 Us	3217
75	90 03/19/2003			
Skjerven Morrill Macpherson LLP Three Embarcadero Ctr. 28th Floor			EXAMINER	
			VERSTEEG, STEVEN H	
San Francisco, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/052.732	BARRETT, RICHARD L			
2	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven H VerSteeg	1753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re-to-reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 8.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SD. (6) MO ature, cause the application to become A	i reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 January 2003 .				
2a)[_]	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) 🖾	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
•	ion of Claims	tion				
4)[≥]	4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5 5 7						
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,12-19 and 22-59</u> is/are allow	reu.				
,	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	A o				
	Claim(s) <u>2,10,11.20 and 21</u> is/are objected					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers	a/or election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/a		iected to by the Examiner.			
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
''/[_]	If approved corrected drawings are required in					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	J 1 ,				
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the provided in the provided					
* (application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	2. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
15) 🗌	a)	provisional application has lestic priority under 35 U.S.C	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Eiraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
- Patent area	fraden ark Office		Dort of Dones No. 0			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,732

Art Unit 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- Figures 2A-2D should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608 02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to because Figure 10 is unclear because that are two separate figures, but they are not separately labeled. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 130 [0003]: 110C [0009]: 211 [0040]: 201 [0041]: 340 [0045]: 348 [0048]: 124 [0064]: 346 [0066]: and 600 [0071]. [0072]. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: **OD**. **ID** (Figure 2A). 272 (Figure 3): 42, 242, 5A-5D, 264 (Figure 4): 338, 312 (Figure 6); and 7, 345, 350, 312, 17a-17B (Figure 7). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office

Application Control Number: 10/052,732 Art Unit 1753

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance

Specification

5 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "is disclosed" needs inserted after "isolation" in line 3. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it is unclear if "curtain" should be "certain" at [0001]. line 3; "to" needs inserted after "order" at [0002], line

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 10, 11, 20, and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities: the period needs deleted in claim 2, line 6; "component" should be "gear" in claim 10, line 3; and "of claim", second occurrence, needs deleted in claim 20, line 1. Claim 11 depends from claim 10 and contains all of the limitations of claim 10. Therefore, claim 11 is objected to for the same reasons as claim 10. Claim 21 depends from claim 20 and contains all of the limitations of claim.

Art Unit 1753

20 Therefore, claim 21 is objected to for the same reasons as claim 20. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9 Claims 1, 3-9, 12-19, and 22-59 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: it is neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have a cylindrical magnetron as claimed by Applicant in claim 1 or a magnetron including a rotating target tube as claimed by Applicant in claim 53 comprising a drive assembly that accommodates imperfect rotation of the target tube. None of the prior art references of record suggest imperfect rotation of the target tube.
- It is also neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have a sputtering device having a rotating target tube as claimed by Applicant in claim 12 wherein the secondary housing has a system of interlocking male and female components rotating about an axis. WO 00/00766 discloses two tubular sections that are joined, but as can be seen from Figure 1, the sections are not male and female sections in a secondary housing that rotate.
- It is also neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have a device for plasma coating a substrate having a target tube that rotates as claimed by Applicant in claim 15 comprising a driveline that is able to pivot about the axis of rotation. US 5,100,527 to Stevenson et al. (Stevenson) has a rotatable magnetron, but there is no disclosure of the driveline being able to pivot about the axis of rotation.
- It is also neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have a device for plasma coating a substrate having a target tube that rotates as claimed by Applicant in claim 26

Application Control Number: 10/052,732

Art Unit 1753

comprising a brush block that is in contact with the shaft and water flowing through the shaft.

US 5,298,137 to Marshall, III (Marshall) discloses wafer flowing through the shaft, but there is no indication of a brush block to transfer power to the shaft.

- It is also neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have a magnetron having a first and second end block and a rotating target tube as claimed by Applicant in claim 42 comprising a driveline in a first inner housing that is in a first outer housing that is electrically isolated from the first inner housing. US 5.518.592 to Bower et al. (Bower) discloses a driveline connected to a target by way of a connector, but there is no indication of the housing that the driveline is in being electrically isolated from the outer housing.
- It is also neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have an end block of a cylindrical magnetron as claimed by Applicant in claim 50 comprising a shield electrically isolated from an isolation plate that has a groove such that stray material cannot completely fill the groove. US 5.527.439 to Sieck et al. (Sieck) discloses grooves in the shield, and US 5.725.746 to Dickey et al. (Dickey) disclose a groove without a shield. It would not be obvious to combine the references to achieve Applicant's claimed invention.
- It is also neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record to have a magnetron having an end block as claimed by Applicant in claim 59 comprising a water cooled electrical transfer system with an inner housing that is in an outer housing and a shield around the outer housing wherein the inner housing is electrically isolated from the outer housing and the outer housing is electrically isolated from the shield. Marshall does not indicate the using an outer, inner, and shield housing that are electrically isolated with a water cooled transfer system in the inner housing.